



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,035	02/20/2002	Yaakov Navon	IL920020003US1	9494	
7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER			
Stephen C. Kaufman			COUSO,	COUSO, JOSE L	
Intellectual Pro	perty Law Dept.				
IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 218			2621		
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,035	NAVON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jose L. Couso	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DA visions of 37 CFR 1.136 communication. num statutory period wil or reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the properties of the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Oc</u>	tober 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,17,19,20 and 22-</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,20 and 23-30</u> is/a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17, 19, 22 and 31</u> is/ar 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re-	is/are withdraw are allowed. re rejected. to.	n from consideration.				
Application Papers		·				
	s/are: a) acce objection to the di uding the correction	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 	iow (DTO 049)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/082,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

1. Claims 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 19 and 22 depend from cancelled claims 18 and 21 respectively.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 17, 19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 6,453,073).

With regard to claim 17, Johnson describes identifying first and second image content, wherein the first and second content comprise image foreground and image background (see for example figures 40 and 49-50 and refer for example to column 25, lines 40-44), and separately compressing the first and second image content (refer for

Art Unit: 2621

example to column 27, lines 43-50 and refer for example to column 28, lines 36-40, visually unimportant texture regions correspond to applicant's background).

In regard to claim 19, Johnson describes comprising employing a higher resolution compression technique to compress the foreground content as compared with the background content (refer for example to column 9, lines 55-63).

As to claim 31, Johnson describes a computer software product, comprising a computer-readable medium in which program instructions are stored, which instructions when read by the computer, separates an image into a first and second layer wherein the first and second layer comprise image foreground and image background (see for example figures 40 and 49-50 and refer for example to column 25, lines 40-44), respectively and compresses the first layer with a first compression technique and the second layer with a second compression technique (refer for example to column 45, lines 10-22 and column 27, line 43 through column 28, line 40 and column 28, lines 36-40, visually unimportant texture regions correspond to applicant's background).

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments on pages 5-6 but firmly believes the cited reference to reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitations.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,035 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

Applicant's arguments bridging pages 11-12, seem to be directed towards an aspect of the invention not specifically claimed. Applicant is reminded of 37 CFR §1.111(b) which specifically states:

A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the reference does not comply with the requirements of this section.

Applicant is reminded that the examiner is entitled to give the broadest reasonable interpretation to the language of the claims. So the examiner considers which Johnson's first and second image content which comprise foreground and background to be applicant's claimed "first and second image content which comprise foreground and background" within the broad meaning of the term. The examiner is not limited to applicant's definition which is not specifically set forth in the claims.

- 5. Claims 1-11, 20 and 23-30 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, lines 1-20, with respect to claims 1-11 and 20-30 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-11, 20 and 22-30 has been withdrawn.

¹ In re Tanaka et al., 193 USPQ 139, (CCPA) 1977.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/082,035

Art Unit: 2621

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of the record fail to teach or suggest singly and/or in combination a method for image separation of an image which provides for identifying kernels reflected by at least one of the operators selected from the group consisting of: P(x-w,y) - P(x,y) > t and P(x+w,y) - P(x,y) > t; and P(x,y-w) - P(x,y) > t; and P(x,y-w) - P(x,y) > t; and P(x+d,y+d) - P(x,y) > t and P(x+d,y+d) - P(x,y) > t, associating the kernels with a first layer and classifying as a second layer, the pixels which am not associated with the first layer as prescribed for in the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/082,035

Art Unit: 2621

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jose L. Couso whose telephone number is (703) 305-4774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (703) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the USPTO contact Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-4357.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jlc October 28, 2005 JOSE L. COUR / PRIMARY EXAMINER